

Strain imaging in clinical practice: case based learning

Goo-Yeong Cho, MD., PhD

Associate Professor, Div. Cardiology, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, College of Medicine, Seoul National University







Strain imaging in clinical practice

- Ischemic heart disease
- Heart failure
 - -Systolic heart failure
 - -Heart failure with preserved EF
- Dyssynchrony
- Subclinical myocardial dysfunction
- Cardiomyoapthy

Ischemic heart disease













Strain imaging can quantify regional wall motion abnormality

• However,



Dobutamine stress echocG



Basal (HR = 65)



20ug/min/kg (HR = 103)



Heart failure



Case . M/34 Recent onset DOE, NYHA IV





BUNDANG





ESV/EDV = 159/160 ml EF (biplane Simpson method) = 16.3%

Transmitral flow: restrictive pattern E/A > 2.0, DT = 130 msec E/e' = 15.0



Basal state: ESV/EDV = 213/277 ml, EF = 23.1% Dobutamine (10 ug/min/kg): EDV/ESV = 220/289, EF = 23.7%

1. Cardiopulmonary exercise after volume controlled

- VmaxO2 = 16.3 ml/min/kg
 a. 5.9 ml/min/kg at anaerobic
 - threshold

 \checkmark VE/VCO2 = 22



Prognosis?

- Dilated LV with severe LV dysfunction
- Severe diastolic dysfunction
- Dobutamine stress CMR
- Cardiopulmonary exercise test
- Global strain



• Strain in systolic heart failure –Prognosticator?

Prediction of all-cause mortality from GLS: Comparison with EF and WMS

• 546 patients with 5.2 ± 1.6 yr follow-up



Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2009 Sep;2(5):356-64









Diastolic heart failure

- Longitudinal and radial strains: ↓
 Circumferential strain: normal
- In patients with SHF
- All 3 directional strain: \downarrow
- The preserved circumferential strain appear to contribute to the normal EF in patients with diastolic heart failure.

Eur Heart J 2008: 29; 1283–1289

Case, F/54, DOE (YNHA Fc II)

05/09/2009 09:10:50





E/e' = 14LAVI = 45 ml/m2 E/V(p) = 2.1

64 2:52 HR



Case. F/50

Problem list

- #1. admission for recurrent heart failure ('08.1, '08.10, '09.9, '09.11, -----)#2. HTN
- **#3. DM with triopathy**
 - : proteinuria, azotemia (Cr 1.4)



Both lung: crackle RHR with S3 gallop









E/A = 116/59 cm/sec s'/e'/a' = 5.3/5.9/5.5 cm/sec DT = 123 msec

Concentric LVH with EF = 51%
 Grade 2 diastolic dysfunction (high LV filling pressure, E/e' = 19.7)

: LA volume index = 45 ml/m2



What's the difference?

- F/54, NYHA II
- EF = 60%
- E/e' = 14
- $LAVI = 45 ml/m^2$



- F/50, recurrent adm.
- EF = 51%
- E/e' = 19.6
- LAVI = 45 ml/m^2



Global circumferential (GCS) vs. longitudinal strain (GLS)

1. The superiority of GCS over GLS a. GCS applies to myocardial fibers with a transverse orientation associated with circumferential and radial ventricular deformation.

b. Those abnormalities develop later in the progression of heart failure than the usually less-severe deformation of longitudinal myocardial fibers that GLS measures.

Conclusion

Strain imaging can be used as for diagnosis, understanding mechanism, and prognosticator in cardiac disease

Thank you very much.

감사합니다.



