



Evaluating Stroke Risk in Atrial Fibrillation

오동진 한림의대의료원 강동성심병원 심장내과



To be declared.....

- *Investigator* of RE-LY and RELY-ABLE study (*Boehringer Ingelheim*)
- *Investigator* of Borealis-AF study (*Sanofi-aventis*)
- Investigator of IV Vernakalant study(MK6621-PN010)(Merck)
- *Attend* the Symposium *sponsored by Boehringer Ingelheim* at Jeju island.
- *Attend* the round table meeting *sponsored by Bayer Healthcare*, 2 times.

Resources in talk

- 2010 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation(EHRA/EACTS)
- 2011 ACCF/AHA/HRS Focused Updates incorporated into the ACC/AHA/ESC 2006 Guidelines for the management of Patients with Atrial Fibrillation
- Focused 2012 update of the Canadian Cardiovscular Society Atrial Fibrillation Guideleines: Recommendations for Stroke Prevention and Rate/Rhythm Control

AF as an Independent Risk Factor for Stroke



(5,070 participants after 34 years of follow-up, The Framingham Study)

(Stroke, 1991 Wolf)

Excess risk of stroke associated with AF



Stroke 1991 Wolf (The Framingham Study)

Risk factors for ischemic Stroke

	PAR*	Odds Ratio
고혈압	45.2% (40.3-50.0)	3.14 (2.67-3.71)
고지혈증	35.2% (25.5-46.3)	1.30 (1.01-1.67)
규칙적인 운동습관	29.4% (14.5-50.5)	0.68 (0.51-0.91)
복부비만	26.0% (17.7-36.5)	1.34 (1.10-1.64)
흡연	21.4% (17.5-25.8)	2.32 (1.91-2.81)
식이습관	17.3% (9.4-29.6)	1.29 (1.06-1.57)
스트레스	11.5% (5.9-21.6)	2.77 (2.23-3.45)
Cardiac Causes #	8.5% (6.4-11.2)	2.74 (2.03-3.72)
당뇨	7.9% (5.1-12.3)	1.06 (1.29-1.99)
절제된 음주	1.0% (0.0-83.8)	0.79 (0.63-1.00)

(*Cardioembolic Stroke* 25% in high-income country)

#Cardiac causes; AF/AFL, previous MI, Rheumatic/Prosthetic Valve Disease

(non-hemorrhagic) Ischemic Stroke



Large Vessel	15%
Cardioembolism	30%
Small vessel	25%
Cryptogenic	29%
Others	<1%

(Stroke 2001, Kolominsky-Rabas PL)



Carotid-embolic stroke "large vessel" (15%)



Small vessel related "lacunar" stroke (25%)



1/5 of stroke related with AF



Cardioembolism(30%) >50% AF (Schneck & Lai 2009)

Cryptogenic(29%) ~20% AF #

Other etiologies(40%) (large & small Vessel disease)

#: Prolonged Monitoring after stroke (Sobocinski 2012 SURPRISE 2012 Cutter (in prep))

(Stroke 2001, Kolominsky-Rabas PL)

• AF is Aging process

• Diverse etiology



Atrial pressure elevation

- valve disease- Mitral/tricuspid, Semilunar valvular abnormalities (causing ventricular hypertrophy)
- Myocardial disease (primary or secondary, leading to systolic or diastolic dysfunction)
- Systemic or pulmonary Hypertension (pulmonary embolism)
 Intracardiac tumors or thrombi
- Atrial ischemia Coronary artery disease

 Inflammatory /infiltrative atrial disease – Pericarditis, Amyloidosis, Myocarditis, Ageinduced atrial fibrotic changes
 Drugs – Alcohol, Caffeine
 Endocrine disorders –Hyperthyroidism, Pheochromocytoma
 Changes in autonomic tone - Increased parasympathetic activity. Increased sympathetic activity
 Primary or metastatic disease in or adjacent to the atrial wall
 Postoperative - Cardiac, pulmonary, or esophageal
 Congenital heart disease
 Nonhemorrhagic, major stroke
 Familial AF

- Idiopathic (lone AF)
- Stroke/Mortality risk



Most common cardiac arrhythmia <u>needed to treat</u>

Anticoagulation in nonvalvular AF



(Ann Intern Med 1999;131:492-501)

Major Bleeding Rate in RCT



* : Prothrombin Time Ratio were used with INR equivalents

Number of randomized trials in AF *published in Medline*



2011 ACCF/AHA/HRS Focused Updates

Class I.

Antithrombotic therapy to prevent thromboembolism <u>is recommended</u> for all patients with AF, except those with lone AF or contraindication (level of evidence: A)

Class III.

Long-term anticoagulation with a vitamin K antagonist <u>is not recommended</u> for primary prevention of stroke in patients *below the age of 60y* without heart disease (*lone AF*) or any *risk factors* for thromboembolism (*level of evidence: C*)

Lone AF

(long-term outcomes with aging)

Survival

CHF

Stroke or TIA



(Olmsted county, Minnesota)

(Circulation 2007, Jahangir)

Lone AF

(long-term outcomes with aging)



Age when AF was diagnosed determined the prognosis

(Olmsted county, Minnesota)

(Circulation 2007, Jahangir)

Attributable Risk of Stroke by Age



Stroke 1991 Wolf (The Framingham Study)

Independent predictors of Stroke

in patients with Atrial Fibrillation (systemic review)

	Relative Risk	<i>Absolute Stroke Rate (observed per year)</i>
Prior stroke/TIA	2.5 (1.8-3.5)	6-9%
Increasing Age	1.5 (1.3-1.7 <i>)/decade</i>	1.5-3% <i>(for >75)</i>
History of HT	2.0 (1.6-2.5)	1.5-3%
DM	1.7 (1.4-2.0)	2.0-3.5%

Female sex: inconsistently associated *Heart failure, coronary artery disease*. inconclusive

(Hart. 2007 Neurology)

Background of CHADS₂ score

- AFI (Atrial Fibrillation Investigators) scheme
 - Hypertension, Prior ischemia, Women > 75years, Recent CHF or LV<25%, SBP>160mmHg
- SPAF (*Stroke Prevention in AF*) III scheme
 Age >65 years, Prior ischemia, hypertension, DM
- CHADS2 (*a new stroke risk index*)
 - Amalgamate the AFI and SPAF classification schemes
 - Recent CHF, hypertension, age>75, DM, history of stroke or TIA

Class	ification Schei	me Scheme Definition
Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation trial†		ial†
	Low risk	None of the following risk factors
	Moderate risk	Hypertension
	High risk	Prior ischemia,
		recent CHF or LV ≤25%, SBP ≥160 mm Ha
Atrial F Inv	ibrillation estigators‡	
	Low risk	None of the following risk factors
Moderate risk High risk		Age >65 years
		Prior ischemia, hypertension, DM

(Gage JAMA 2001)

Table 2. Risk of Stroke in National Registry of Atrial Fibrillation (NRAF) Participants, Stratified by $CHADS_2$ Score^{*}

(CHADS₂ Score	No. of Patients (n = 1733)	No. of Strokes (n = 94)	NRAF Crude Stroke Rate per 100 Patient-Years	NRAF Adjusted Stroke Rate, (95% CI)†
	0	120	2	1.2	1.9 (1.2-3.0)
	1	463	17	2.8	2.8 (2.0-3.8)
	2	523	23	3.6	4.0 (3.1-5.1)
	3	337	25	6.4	5.9 (4.6-7.3)
	4	220	19	8.0	8.5 (6.3-11.1)
	5	65	6	7.7	12.5 (8.2-17.5)
	6	5	2	44.0	18.2 (10.5-27.4)

	C statistic
AFI	0.68
SPAF	0.74
CHADS,	0.82

(Gage JAMA 2001)

Relative Stroke Risk Distribution



(Stroke Risk in Atrial Fibrillation Working Group. Stroke 2008)

CHADS₂ SCORE

	Low	Intermediate	High
CHADS ₂ - <i>Classic</i>	0	1-2	≥3
Distribution(%)	(2.0)	(64.0)	(34.0)
CHADS ₂ -Revised	0	1	≥2
Distribution(%)	(2.0)	(31.1)	(66.9)

Stroke Risk in Patients With Nonvalvular AF Not treated With

Anticoagulation According to the	CHADS2	Index
----------------------------------	--------	-------

CHADS2 Risk Criteria	Score	
Prior stroke or TIA	2	
Age 75 y	1	
Hypertension	1	
Diabetes mellitus	1	
Heart failure	1	

<i>Patients</i> (N1733)	<i>Adjusted Stroke Rate</i> (%小)* (95%(I)	CHADS2 Sco	ore	
120 463	1.9 (1.2 to 3.0) 2.8 (2.0 to 3.8)	0 1		<u> </u>
523 337 220	4.0 (3.1 to 5.1) 5.9 (4.6 to 7.3) 8 5 (6 2 to 11.1)	2 3	0점 1점 2점	No or Aspirin Warfarin or Aspirin Warfarin
65 5	12.5 <i>(8.2 to 17.5)</i> 18.2 <i>(10.5 to 27.4)</i>	4 5 6		

^{*}The adjusted stroke rate was derived from multivariate analysis assuming no aspirin usage. Data are from van Walraven WC, Hart RG, Wells GA, et al. A clinical prediction rule to identify patients with atrial fibrillation and a low risk for stroke while taking aspirin. *Arch Intern Med* 2003;163:936–43 (415); and Gage BF, Waterman AD, Shannon W, et al. Validation of clinical classification schemes for predicting stroke: results from the National Registry of Atrial Fibrillation. *JAMA* 2001;285:2864–70 (426).

2011 ACCF/AHA/HRS Focused Updates Antithrombotic therapy for patients with AF

Risk Category	Recommended Therapy			
No risk factors		Aspirin, 81 to 325 mg daily		
One moderate-risk factor	Aspirin, 81 to	Aspirin, 81 to 325 mg daily, or warfarin (INR 2.0 to 3.0, target 2.5)		
Any high-risk factor or more than 1 moderate-risk factor	ate-risk factor Warfarin (INR 2.0 to 3.0, target 2.5)*			
Less Validated or Weaker				
Risk Factors	Moderate-Risk Factors	High-Risk Factors		
Female gender	Age greater than or equal to 75 y	Previous stroke, TIA or embolism		
Age 65 to 74 y	Hypertension	Mitral stenosis		
Coronary artery disease	Heart failure	Prosthetic heart valve*		
Thyrotoxicosis	LV ejection fraction 35% or less			
	Diabetes mellitus			

Relatively Conservative Attitude to use OAC *and* allow the alternatives(anti-platelets) (more concern about the bleeding risk-benefit)

Major Bleeding Rate of VKA



BAFTA

(the Birmingham AF treatment of the Aged Study)

	Warfarin (n=488)		Aspirin (n=485)		Warfarin vs aspirin	
	n	Risk per year	n	Risk per year	RR (95% CI)	р
Stroke	21	1.6%	<mark>44</mark>	3.4%	0.46 (0.26–0.79)	0.003
By severity						
Fatal	13	1.0%	21	1.6%	0.59 (0.27–1.24)	0.14
Disabling non-fatal	8	0.6%	23	1.8%	0.33 (0.13–0.77)	0.005
Type of stroke*						
Ischaemic	10	0.8%	32	2·5%	0·30 (0·13–0·63)	0.0004
Haemorrhagic	6	0.5%	5	0.4%	1.15 (0.29–4.77)	0.83
Unknown	5	0.4%	7	0.5%	0.69 (0.17–2.51)	0.53
Other intracranial haemorrhage†	2	0.2%	1	0.1%	1.92 (0.10–113.3)	0.65
Systemic embolism‡	1	0.1%	3	0.2%	0.32 (0.01–3.99)	0.36
Total number of events	24	1.8%	48	3.8%	0.48 (0.28–0.80)	0.0027

973 over 75 yo

Stroke Risk in Patients With Nonvalvular AF Not treated With

Anticoagulation According to the CH	ADS2 Index
-------------------------------------	------------

CHADS2 Risk Criteria	Score	
Prior stroke or TIA	2	
Age 75 y	1	
Hypertension	1	
Diabetes mellitus	1	
Heart failure	1	

Patients	Adjusted Stroke Rate	CHADS2 Score	
(N1733)	(%/y)* (95% CI)		
120	1.9 <i>(1.2 to 3.0)</i>	0	
463	2.8 (2.0 to 3.8)	1	
523	4.0 <i>(3.1 to 5.1)</i>	2	
337	5.9 <i>(4.6 to 7.3)</i>	3	
220	8.5 <i>(6.3 to 11.1)</i>	4	
65	12.5 <i>(8.2 to 17.5)</i>	5	
5	18.2 <i>(10.5 to 27.4)</i>	6	

^{*}The adjusted stroke rate was derived from multivariate analysis assuming no aspirin usage. Data are from van Walraven WC, Hart RG, Wells GA, et al. A clinical prediction rule to identify patients with atrial fibrillation and a low risk for stroke while taking aspirin. *Arch Intern Med* 2003;163:936–43 (415); and Gage BF, Waterman AD, Shannon W, et al. Validation of clinical classification schemes for predicting stroke: results from the National Registry of Atrial Fibrillation. *JAMA* 2001;285:2864–70 (426).

Background of CHA₂DS₂-VASc

 Table 5—Risk Categorization, Incidence of TE,^a and Predictive Ability for Contemporary Risk Stratification Schema

 Among Euro Heart Survey Patients Who Did not Receive Anticoagulation at Baseline

	Categorization of TE Risk			Predictive Abi	lity
	Low	Intermediate	High	C Statistic (95% CI)	<i>P</i> Value
AFI 1994					.209
% in risk category	16.7	12.2	71.1	0.573	
TE events, No. (%)	1(0.6)	4(3.0)	20 (2.6)	(0.470 - 0.676)	
SPAF 1999					.405
% in risk category	26.2	44.8	29.0	0.549	
TE events, No. (%)	5(1.8)	11(2.3)	9 (2.9)	(0.435 - 0.662)	
CHADS ₂ —classic					.296
% in risk category	20.4	61.9	17.7	$0.561^{ m b}$	
TE events, No. (%)	3(1.4)	16(2.4)	6 (3.2)	(0.450 - 0.672)	
CHADS ₂ —revised					.140
% in risk category	20.4	34.9	44.7	0.586^{b}	
TE events, No. (%)	3(1.4)	7(1.9)	15(3.1)	(0.477 - 0.695)	
Framingham					.018
% in risk category	48.3	41.5	10.2	0.638^{b}	
TE events, No. (%)	6(1.2)	14(3.2)	5(4.6)	(0.532 - 0.744)	
NICE 2006		4.5 5.9			.094
% in risk category	13.1	39.2	47.7	0.598	
TE events, No. (%)	0 (0.0)	13(3.1)	12 (2.3)	(0.498 - 0.698)	
ACC/AHA/ESC 2006					.228
% in risk category	19.6	32.6	47.8	0.571	
TE events, No. (%)	3(1.4)	7(2.0)	15 (2.9)	(0.461 - 0.680)	
ACCP 2008		and the second of			.204
% in risk category	19.6	33.4	47.0	0.574	
TE events, No. (%)	3(1.4)	7(1.9)	15(3.0)	(0.465.0.692)	
Birmingham 2009	2 2				.070
% in risk category	9.2	15.1	75.7	0.606	
TE events, No. (%)	0 (0.0)	1(0.6)	24 (3.0)	(0.513 - 0.699)	

CHADS₂ -> CHA₂DS₂VASc

CHADS2 Risk	Score
CHF	1
Hypertension	1
Age > 75	1
Diabetes	1
Stroke or TIA	2

CHA2DS2-VASc Risk	Score
CHF or LVEF <u><</u> 40%	1
Hypertension	1
Age <u>></u> 75	2
Diabetes	1
Stroke/TIA/ Thromboembolism	2
Vascular Disease	1
Age 65 - 74	1
Female	1

CHADS₂ vs CHA₂DS₂VASc *Grading Scale*

(c) Adjusted stro	oke rate according to CHA	2DS2-VASc score			
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc	Patients (n=7329)	Adjusted stroke			
0	I	0%	CHADS₂ Score	No. of Patients (n = 1733)	NRAF Adjusted Stroke Rate, (95% CI)†
I	422	1.3%	0	120	1.9 (1.2-3.0)
2	1230	2.2%	1	463	2.8 (2.0-3.8)
3	1730	3.2%	2	523	4.0 (3.1-5.1)
4	1718	4 0%	3	337	5.9 (4.6-7.3)
· · ·	1710	1.070	4	220	8.5 (6.3-11.1)
5	1159	6.7%	5	65	12.5 (8.2-17.5)
6	679	9.8%	6	5	18.2 (10.5-27.4
7	294	9.6%]		
8	82	6.7%]		
9	14	15.2%]		

CHA₂DS₂-VASc is *complementary rather than independent* to **CHADS₂**

CHA_2DS_2 -VASc Score	No.	Number of TE Events	TE Rate During 1 y (95% CI)	TE Rate During 1 y, Adjusted for Aspirin Prescription,ª %
0	103	0	0% (0-0)	0
1	162	1	0.6% (0.0-3.4)	0.7
2.	184	3	1.6% (0.3-4.7)	19
3	203	8	3.9% (1.7-7.6)	4.7
4	208	4	1.9% (0.5-4.9)	2.3
5	95	3	3.2% (0.7-9.0)	3.9
6	57	2	3.6% (0.4-12.3)	4.5
7	25	2	8.0% (1.0-26.0)	10.1
8	9	1	11.1% (0.3-48.3)	14.2
9	1	1	100% (2.5-100)	100
Total	1,084	25	P Value for trend 0.003	

Table 6—Stroke or Other TE at 1 Year Based on the 2009 Birmingham (CHA₂DS₂-VASc) Scoring System

2010 ESC Guidelines of AF



HAS-BLED bleeding risk score

н	Hypertension	1
Α	Abnormal Renal/ Liver function	1 or 2
S	Stroke	1
В	Bleeding	1
L	Labile INRs	1
E	Elderly(>65)	1
D	Drug of Alcohol	1 or 2
		Maximum 9 points

 \geq 3 high risk

HAS-BLED

	HAS-BLED			
Risk Factors/Score	No.	No. of Bleeds	Bleeds Per 100 Patient-Years	
0	798	9	1.13	
1	1,286	13	1.02	
2	744	14	1.88	
3	187	7	3.74	
4	46	4	8.70	
5	8	1	12.50	
6	2	0	0.0	
7	0			
8	0			
9	0			
Any score	3,071	48	1.56	
<i>P</i> value for trend			0.007	

(Pisters Chest 2010)

2010 Canadian Cardiovascular Society AF Guideline

Overview of Thromboembolic Management



Focused 2012 Update of Canadian AF Guideline





Survival free from Ischemic Stroke in **paroxysmal** AF (Report from the Stockholm Cohort of Atrial Fibrillation Friberg L. Eur Heart J 2010)

Class IIa

3. It is reasonable to select antithrombotic therapy using the *same criteria irrespective of the pattern* (i.e., *paroxysmal, persistent, or permanent*) of AF. *(level of Evidence: B)*

Risk of Stroke in patients with Atrial Flutter



(Biblo LA Am J Cardiol 2001)

The incidence of AF after inception of AFL



Class I

8. Antithrombotic therapy is recommended for patients with *atrial flutter* as for those with AF. *(Level of Evidence: C)*

To reduce the Stroke Risk in association with AF, what we should consider?

- To Whom?
- By What?
 - Warfarin (oral vitamin K antagonist)
 - Antiplatelet
 - New OAC

• How to?

- Adherence to the clinical Guidelines
- Practical tips
 - Weekly dosage
 - Education!! and communication
 - Self monitoring

the Management Cascade

for patients with AF





(N Engl J Med 2003 Hylek)



(N Engl J Med 2003 Hylek)

INR and Stroke/ICH

INR	Person-yr†	Stroke (95% CI) (N=152)	Person-yr†	Intracranial Hemorrhage (95% CI) (N=58)
		rate/100 person-yr		rate/100 person-yr
<1.5	556	7.7 (5.7–10.4)	561	0.5 (0.2–1.7)
1.5–1.9	2847	1.9 (1.4–2.4)	2867	0.3 (0.1–0.6)
2.0–2.5	5357	0.4 (0.3–0.7)	5400	0.3 (0.2–0.4)
2.6–3.0	2388	0.9 <mark>(</mark> 0.6–1.4)	2409	0.5 (0.3–0.9)
3.1-3.5	834	0.7 (0.3–1.6)	843	0.6 (0.3–1.4)
3.6–3.9	243	0.4 (0.1–2.9)	247	0.4 (0.1–2.9)
4.0-4.5	144	1.4 (0.4–5.5)	147	2.7 (1.0–7.3)
>4.5	115	2.6 (0.8–8.1)	118	9.4 (5.2–16.9)

(N	Engl J	Med 2003	Hylek)
•	<u> </u>		· · ·

Variable	None (N=248)	Aspirin (N=160)	Warfarin		
			INR <2.0 (N=117)	INR≥2.0 (N=71)	
		peri	rcent		
Severity and outcome of stroke					
Fatal in-hospital stroke	14	6	9	1	
Severe stroke, total dependence	8	7	6	4	
Major stroke, neurologic deficit that prevented independent living	37	36	44	38	
Minor stroke, neurologic deficit that did not pre- vent independent living	36	49	38	55	
No neurologic sequelae	5	2	3	2	
Total 30-day mortality	24	15	16	6	

BAFTA

(the Birmingham AF treatment of the Aged Study)



(Mant 2007 Lancet)





(Mant 2007 Lancet)









(Hart 2007 Ann Intern Med)

C Study, Year (Reference)

Adjusted-dose warfarin compared with antiplatelet agents AFASAK I, 1989 (2); 1990 (3) AFASAK II, 1998 (14) Chinese ATAFS, 2006 (30) EAFT, 1993 (8) PATAF, 1999 (16) SPAF II, 1994 (10) Age ≤75 y Age >75 y Aspirin trials $(n = 8)^*$ SIFA, 1997 (12) ACTIVE-W, 2006 (28) 39%(22-52%) NASPEAF, 2004 (25) All antiplatelet trials (n = 11) 50% 100% -50% -100% 0 **Favors Warfarin Favors Antiplatelet**

Relative Risk Reduction (95% CI)

(Hart 2007 Ann Intern Med)

(Lancet 2006, ACTIVE Writing Group)

Active W



*: 1st stroke, non-CNS systemic embous, MI, vascular death

To reduce the **Stroke Risk** *in association with* **AF**, **what we should consider?**

- To Whom ?
- By What ?
 - Warfarin (oral vitamin K antagonist)
 - Antiplatelet
 - New OAC
- How to ?
 - Adherence to the clinical Guidelines
 - Practical tips
 - Weekly dosage
 - Self monitoring